



*A New NATO
Strategic Concept
Without Nuclear
Weapons*

Martin Butcher, The Acronym Institute
Pugwash, Nova Scotia, July 2008

Nuclear Weapons in NATO Strategy




NATO
OTAN

Nuclear Elements of NATO Strategy (1)

Key elements:

- NATO's conventional forces alone cannot credibly deter
- Maintain - for the foreseeable future - mix of nuclear and conventional forces, **at minimum sufficient level**
- NW ensure uncertainty in mind of any aggressor
- They deter the use of NBC weapons
- NW make unique contribution in rendering the risks of aggression incalculable and unacceptable
- **NW remain essential to preserve peace**

Nuclear Weapons in NATO Strategy

	NATO OTAN	Nuclear Elements of NATO Strategy (2)
---	-------------------	--

Key elements:

- Widespread participation by European Allies
- Nuclear forces based in Europe
- Strategic and sub-strategic nuclear forces (SSNF)
 - Sub-strategic: DCA and Sub-Strategic Trident
- Maintain transatlantic link
- **Political control** under all circumstances
- No operational plans in peacetime (SSNF)
 - Adaptive nuclear planning
- Circumstances for contemplation of nuclear use:
“extremely remote”

Reducing Nuclear Weapons

- US Nuclear Weapons in Europe reduced from 7,000+ to +/-200
- Turkey and Greece have abandoned nuclear role
- US nuclear weapons removed from the UK for first time in 60 years
- Nuclear Planning Group scaled back.

Nuclear Use Doctrine

- The use of nuclear weapons would be 'extremely remote'
- Counterproliferation has influenced nuclear use policy
- There is a risk of the use of a small number of nuclear weapons in a regional conflict
 - "tailored deterrence"

Allied Reservations

- Canadian and European reservations on policy are strong
- US influence will increase as role of NPG declines
- Withdrawals of nuclear weapons from Europe will enhance this trend

NATO Nuclear Sharing

- NATO introduced nuclear sharing to prevent proliferation
- Four nations maintain sharing roles
- Greece and Turkey have opted out
- This policy has a negative effect on the NPT

The Historical Role of Arms Control

- Building Stability During the Cold War
- Reducing and Eliminating Threat Categories
 - Nuclear weapons in space, on the sea bed, SNF and INF reductions
 - Preventing proliferation, the NPT
 - Ballistic missile defences, the ABM Treaty

Threat Reduction in the 1990s

- Active NATO Support for:
 - PNIs, tactical nuclear disarmament
 - End to TNF modernisation
 - CWC
 - CTBT
 - Extension of NPT
 - START I and II
 - Endorsement of the NPT '13 steps' in 2000

Declining Support for Arms Control

■ After 2000

- 13 steps repudiated immediately
- 'unilateral PNIs' seen as model
- By 2004, no support for CTBT
- Failure to ratify Adapted CFE Treaty
- By 2006 all specific measures except CTBT absent, now that too has gone
- Increasing role for counterproliferation, through PSI, replaces arms control

Conclusions

- NATO must revise its strategic concept, abandoning the nuclear role
- NATO needs to revalue arms control as security building tool
- US withdrawal of nuclear weapons from Europe is essential, but must be matched with end of nuclear role for the Alliance
- Action Point: Open Letter to US Congress